

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/811,238	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MOFFA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> TARA MAYO-PINNOCK	<b>Art Unit</b> 3671	

**All Participants:**

(1) TARA MAYO-PINNOCK.

(2) Jonathan M. Darcy.

**Status of Application:** Response to NF OA Entered

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 November 2009

**Time:** 2:37 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☒ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*The rejections of claim 21 under 35 USC §102(b) as anticipated by Brainerd et al. '563, and claims 22 and 23 under 35 USC §103(a) as unpatentable over Brainerd et al. '563 in view of Fernie et al. '285.*

Claims discussed:

21-23

Prior art documents discussed:

*Brainerd et al. '563, Fernie et al. '285*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant agreed to cancel claims 21 through 23 to obviate a rejection based upon anticipation by Fernie et al. '285 and place the application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/TARA MAYO-PINNOCK/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3671

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)